

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/663,324                           | 09/15/2003      | Harold D. Beck       | 03-11               | 3791             |  |
| 30699                                | 7590 04/19/2007 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| DAYCO PRODUCTS, LLC 1 PRESTIGE PLACE |                 |                      | AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER |                  |  |
| MIAMISBURG                           | G, OH 45342     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| •                                    |                 |                      | 1772                |                  |  |
|                                      |                 |                      |                     | DEL WERV MODE    |  |
|                                      |                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                      | •               | •                    | 04/19/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                     | Applicant(s)                     |                  |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
|   | 10/663,324                          | BECK ET AL.                      | •                |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner                            | Art Unit                         |                  |
|   | Walter B. Aughenbaugh               | 1772                             |                  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  |                                     |                                  | 9ss              |
| •   |                                     | •                                |                  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |                                     |                                  |                  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of time</li> </ul> | of Mailing or Transmission dated    | ), which is after the exp        | oiration of the  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it do   | pes not constitute a proper reply u | under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the    | final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with                                     | filed Notice of Appeal (with appea  |                                  |                  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S  |                                     | ide attempt at a proper reply,   | to the non-      |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   |                                     |                                  |                  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC)   |                                     | , within the statutory period of | three months     |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statutor</li> <li>Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>                             |                                     |                                  |                  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala   | ance of \$ is due.                  |                                  |                  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | The publication fee, if required    | l by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$       | _·               |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha  | s not been received.                |                                  |                  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | required by, and within the three-  | month period set in, the Notice  | e of             |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>  | (with a Certificate of Mailing      | or Transmission dated            | ), which is      |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.  |                                     | ·<br>·                           |                  |
| .   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.  | y the attorney or agent of record,  | the assignee of the entire inte  | rest, or all of  |
| <ul> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ul>  | y an attorney or agent (acting in a | representative capacity unde     | r 37 CFR         |
| <ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte<br/>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed</li> </ol>   |                                     | because the period for seekin    | g court review   |
| <sup>7</sup> . ⊠ The reason(s) below:   |                                     |                                  |                  |
| See Continuation Sheet  |                                     |                                  |                  |
|   | ·                                   | Mu                               |                  |
|   |                                     | JENNIFER MCNEIL                  |                  |
|   | SUP                                 | ERVISORY PATENT EXAM             | IINER            |
|   |                                     | •                                |                  |
| etitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with  | hdraw the holding of abandonment ur | nder 37 CFR 1.181, should be pro | mptly filed to   |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-1432)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: In a telephone conversation with J. Daniel Lykins, Applicant's Representative, on April 13, 2007, Applicant's Representative informed Examiner that a response to the October 5, 2006 Office Action was prepared, but inadvertently was not filed. Examiner checked the IFW file to see if a response was scanned in but not forwarded to Examiner, in the event that a response actually was filed, but no response was in the IFW file. Examiner notified Applicant's Representative that a notice of abandonment would be filed. Applicant's Representative stated that a petition to revive abandoned application would be filed.

Walter Aughenbaugh

WA